Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/727,860	ASADA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Justin Krause	3682
All Participants:	Status of Application	n: <u>Allowed</u>
(1) <u>Justin Krause</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Clark Jablon</u> .	(4)	•
Date of Interview: 20 March 2007	Time: <u>2 pm</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	oplicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: 1, 3, 4		
Prior art documents discussed: None		·
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GR See Continuation Sheet	ENERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separ directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separ did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sum 	. The examiner will provide a ate record of the substance of	written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
	·	
Whe		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Appli	cant/Applicant's Representati	ve Signature - if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner proposed amendments to claims 1 and 3 to correct for some minor antecedent basis and indefiniteness issues as well as amendments for better readability of the claims. It was proposed that claim 4 be cancelled as being contradictory to the equation relationships defined in claim 1...